arXiv:1008.2435v3 [math.DG] 13 Oct 2010

Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations on orthogonal groups: the case of oscillator groups

Mohamed Boucetta-Alberto Medina

Abstract

A Lie group is called orthogonal if it carries a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric. Oscillator Lie groups constitutes a subclass of the class of orthogonal Lie groups. In this paper, we determine the Lie bialgebra structures and the solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation on a generic class of oscillator Lie groups. On the other hand, we show that any solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation on an orthogonal Lie group induces a flat left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric in the dual Lie groups associated to this solution. This metric is geodesically complete if and only if the dual are unimodular. More generally, we show that any solution of the generalized Yang-Baxter equation on an orthogonal Lie group determines a left invariant locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian metric on the corresponding dual Lie groups. Applying this result to oscillator Lie groups we get a large class of solvable Lie groups with flat left invariant Lorentzian metric.

1 Introduction and main results

The notion of Poisson-Lie group was first introduced by Drinfeld [8] and studied by Semenov-Tian-Shansky [24] (see also [15]). It is known that every connected Poisson-Lie group arises from a Lie bialgebra and an important class of Lie bialgebras, the coboundary Lie bialgebras [9], are obtained by solving the generalized Yang-Baxter equation. Recall that a *Lie bialgebra* is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ together with a linear map $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ such that:

1. ξ is a 1-cocycle with respect to the adjoint action, i.e.,

$$\xi([u,v]) = \mathrm{ad}_u\xi(v) - \mathrm{ad}_v\xi(u); \tag{1}$$

2. the bracket $[\ ,\ ]^*$ on the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by

$$[\alpha,\beta]^*(u) = \xi(u)(\alpha,\beta), \quad u \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha,\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^* \tag{2}$$

satisfies the Jacobi identity.

A Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \xi)$ is called *coboundary Lie bialgebra* if there exists $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ such that, for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u r$. In this case, the condition (1) is automatically satisfied and (2) holds if and only if r satisfies the *generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation*:

$$\mathrm{ad}_u[r, r] = 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{3}$$

where $[r, r] \in \wedge^3\mathfrak{g}$ is the Schouten bracket (see [10] for instance). A solution of the *classical Yang-Baxter equation* is a bivector $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$[r, r] = 0. \tag{4}$$

Bellavin and Drinfeld gave a classification of the so-called quasi-triangular Lie bialgebras on simple complex Lie algebras (see [2]). In [6], Delorme classified Manin triples (Lie bialgebras's equivalent) on reductive complex Lie algebras. In [26], Poisson-Lie structures on Heisenberg groups were classified.

In this paper, an oscillator group is a real simply connected Lie group which contains a Heisenberg group as a normal closed subgroup of codimension 1. The four dimensional oscillator group has its origin in the study of the harmonic oscillator which is one of the most simple non-relativist systems where the Schrodinger equation can be solved completely. In [25], Streater described the representations of this group. Oscillator groups of dimension great than four have interesting features from the viewpoints of both Differential Geometry and Physics (see for instance [11, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23]). In [17] Medina proved that they are the only non commutative simply connected solvable Lie groups which have a bi-invariant Lorentzian metric.

In what follows, we study Poisson-Lie structures on oscillator groups. Indeed, we determine the Lie bialgebra structures and the solutions of the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation on a generic class of oscillator Lie algebras (see Theorems 1.1-1.2). We also show that any solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation on a Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric induces a flat left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on the dual Lie groups of G associated to the solution (see Theorem 1.3). Applying this result to oscillator Lie groups we get a large class of solvable Lie groups with flat left invariant Lorentzian metric. More generally, it is shown that any solution of the generalized Yang-Baxter equation on an orthogonal (or quadratic) Lie group determines a left invariant locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian metric on the corresponding dual Lie groups.

Note that our results imply interesting geometric properties on oscillator manifolds (quotient of oscillator groups by lattices) which constitute a large class since the conditions of existence of lattices on a given oscillator group can be fulfilled easily (see [18]).

Let us now recall some facts and state our main results.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$, the λ-oscillator group, denoted by G_λ, is the Lie group which the underlying manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and product

$$(t,s,z).(t',s',z') = \left(t+t', s+s' + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} Im \bar{z}_j exp(it\lambda_j) z'_j, \ldots, z_j + exp(it\lambda_j) z'_j, \ldots \right).$$

The Lie algebra of G_λ, denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, admits a basis $\mathbb{B} = \{e_{-1}, e_0, e_i, \check{e}_i, \}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ where the brackets are given by

$$[e_{-1}, e_j] = \lambda_j \check{e}_j, \qquad [e_{-1}, \check{e}_j] = -\lambda_j e_j, \qquad [e_j, \check{e}_j] = e_0. \tag{5}$$

The unspecified brackets are either zero or given by antisymmetry.

We call G_λ or $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ *generic* if, $0 < \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_n$ and, for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_i + \lambda_j$.

We shall denote by S the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ spanned by $\{e_i, \check{e}_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ and by ω the 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ given by

$$i_{e_{-1}}\omega = i_{e_0}\omega = 0, \ \omega(e_i, e_j) = \omega(\check{e}_i, \check{e}_j) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(e_i, \check{e}_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

The restriction of ω to S is a symplectic 2-form and, for any $u, v \in S$,

$$[u, v] = \omega(u, v) e_0. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, S is invariant by the derivation $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ and we have

$$\omega(\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}} u, v) + \omega(u, \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}} v) = 0 \quad u, v \in S. \tag{7}$$

Notations. For any $r_1, r_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, let ω_{r_1, r_2} be the element of $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ defined by

$$\omega_{r_1,r_2}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega(r_{1\#}(\alpha), r_{2\#}(\beta)) + \omega(r_{2\#}(\alpha), r_{1\#}(\beta))\right),$$

where $r_{i\#} : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is the endomorphism given by $\beta(r_{i\#}(\alpha)) = r_i(\alpha, \beta)$. We denote (improperly) by $\wedge^2 S$ the space of $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ satisfying $r_\#(e_{-1}^*) = r_\#(e_0^*) = 0$ and by S^* the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ of α such that $\alpha(e_{-1}) = \alpha(e_0) = 0$ and we define $e_{-1}^*, e_0^* \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ by $e_{-1|S}^* = e_{0|S}^* = 0$, $e_{-1}^*(e_0) = e_0^*(e_{-1}) = 0$ and $e_{-1}^*(e_{-1}) = e_0^*(e_0) = 1$. We have clearly $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^* = \mathbb{R}e_{-1}^* \oplus \mathbb{R}e_0^* \oplus S^*$. Finally, for any endomorphism J of $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, we denote by $J^\dagger$ the endomorphism of $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, given by

$$J^\dagger r(\alpha, \beta) = r(J^*\alpha, \beta) + r(\alpha, J^*\beta),$$

where $J^* : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ is the dual of J.

We have:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a generic oscillator Lie algebra. Then $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ if and only if there exists $r \in \wedge^2 S$, $u_0 \in S$ and a derivation $J : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ commuting with $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ and satisfying $J(e_{-1}) = J(e_0) = 0$ such that, for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$,*

$$\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r + 2e_0 \wedge ((J + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)),$$

and

$$\omega_{r,\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r} - (J^\dagger \circ \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger)r = 0. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, in this case, the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ defined by (2) is given by

$$\begin{cases} [e_0^*, \alpha]^* = 2J^*\alpha - 2(\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^*\alpha)(u_0)e_{-1}^* + i_{r_\#(\alpha)}\omega, \\ [\alpha, \beta]^* = \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r(\alpha, \beta)e_{-1}^*, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in S^*$ and e_{-1}^* is a central element.

From the expression of the brackets above, we can deduce immediately the following result.

Corollary 1.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a generic oscillator Lie algebra and $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r + 2e_0 \wedge ((J + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u))$ a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. Denote by $2p$ the dimension of the kernel of the restriction of $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r$ to S^*. Then $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]^*)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}e_0^*$ by the ideal $\mathcal{H}_{2(n-p)+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2p}$ where $\mathcal{H}_{2(n-p)+1}$ is the $2(n-p)+1$-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra, $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$ the $2p$-dimensional Abelian Lie algebra and the action of e_0^* is given by the first relation in (9). In particular, $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]^*)$ is solvable. Moreover, $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]^*)$ is unimodular iff $\sum_{i=1}^n r(e_i, \check{e}_i) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a generic oscillator Lie algebra. Then:*

1. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the generalized Yang-Baxter equation if and only if there exists $u_0 \in S$, $r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = 2\alpha e_0 \wedge e_{-1} + e_0 \wedge u_0 + r_0$ and*

$$\omega_{r_0,\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r_0} + \alpha(\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger \circ \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger)r_0 = 0. \tag{10}$$

2. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if there exists $u_0 \in S$, $r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = \alpha e_0 \wedge e_{-1} + e_0 \wedge u_0 + r_0$ and*

$$\omega_{r_0,r_0} + \alpha\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r_0 = 0. \tag{11}$$

There are some comments on Theorems 1.1-1.2:

1. Theorems 1.1-1.2 reduce the problem of finding Lie bialgebras structures or solutions of Yang-Baxter equations on a generic oscillator Lie algebra to solving (8), (10) and (11). Or these equations involve only the symplectic space (S, ω) and the restrictions of the derivations J and $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ to S. Note that the space of derivations of $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ satisfying $J(e_0) = J(e_{-1}) = 0$ is isomorphic to the space of endomorphisms of S skew-symmetric with respect to ω.

2. In Section 3, we give the solutions of (8), (10) and (11) when $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \leq 6$ (see Propositions 3.1-3.2). To solve those equations in the general case is very difficult, however we will give in Section 3 a large class of solutions.

3. On a general oscillator algebra (not necessary generic), the 1-cocyles satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1 (resp. the r satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2) defines a large class of Lie bialgebras structures on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ (resp. a large class of solutions of the generalized Yang-Baxter equation on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$).

4. When $\alpha = 0$, r_0 is a solution of (11) if and only if $\mathrm{Im} r_{0\#}$ is an even dimensional ω-isotropic subspace of S.
   Conversely, let F be an even dimensional ω-isotropic subspace of S. Choose a nondegenerate skew-symmetric 2-form μ on F and define $r_0 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ by
   $$r_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta\left(\mu_\# \circ i^*(\alpha)\right),$$
   where $i : F \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is canonical inclusion and $\mu_\# : F^* \longrightarrow F$ the isomorphism associated to μ. One can check easily that r_0 is a solution of (11).

The second part of our study involves bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups and solutions of the generalized Yang-Baxter equation.

Let (G, k) be a Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric. The value of k at identity induces on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G an adjoint invariant non degenerate bilinear symmetric form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Such a Lie algebra is called an *orthogonal* (or *quadratic*) Lie algebra. For instance, semi-simple Lie algebras and oscillator Lie algebras are orthogonal (see [17]).

Let r be a solution of (3) on an orthogonal Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$. Then r defines on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ a Lie bracket by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_r = \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\beta)}\alpha - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\alpha)}\beta. \tag{12}$$

Consider the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^* = \langle \phi^{-1}(\alpha), \phi^{-1}(\beta) \rangle,$$

where $\phi(u) = \langle u, . \rangle$.

Let us denote by G^*_r a Lie group with Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\ ,\ ]_r)$, by k^* the left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric whose value at the identity is $\langle\ ,\ \rangle^*$ and by ∇^* its Levi-Civita connexion. With the notations above, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.3 *Let (G,k) be a Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric and let r be a solution of (3) on G. Then:*

1. *(G_r^*, k^*) is a locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian manifold, i.e.,*

$$\nabla^* R = 0,$$

   where R is the curvature of k^*. In particular, R vanishes identically when r is a solution of (4).

2. *If k^* is flat then it is complete if and only if G_r^* is unimodular and in this case G_r^* is solvable.*

In [16] Theorem 3.9, Medina an Revoy obtained a similar result as Theorem 1.3 when r is an invertible solution of (4). For more details on left invariant metrics on quadratic Lie groups see [5]. On the other hand, the results above complete the results obtained by Bordemann in [3].

There are some consequences of Theorem 1.3. As above (G,k) is a Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric, $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ its associated orthogonal Lie algebra and $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$.

1. If r is a solution of (4) and k is definite (for instance, k is the Killing form of a semi-simple compact Lie group) then, according to a result of Milnor [20], $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a semi-direct product of two Abelian Euclidian Lie algebras, one of them acting on the other by infinitesimal isometries.

2. If r is a solution of (4), the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker r_\# \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im} r_\# \longrightarrow 0$$

   is an exact sequence of Lie algebras with $\ker r_\#$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathrm{Im} r_\#$ is a symplectic Lie algebra. In particular if G is solvable then G_r^* is solvable. The sequence is also a natural exact sequence of left symmetric algebras (see [16]).

3. We can paraphrase a part of Theorem 1.3 as follows: Any symplectic Lie subgroup S of an ortogonal Lie group (G,k) determines an unique simply connected Lie group $G*$ such that the left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $k*$ is flat. For example, the affine group $S = \mathrm{Aff}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{R})$ is a symplectic Lie subgroup of the orthogonal Lie group $G = \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n}+1, \mathbb{R})$. Hence there is a simply connected Lie group dual of G endowed with a flat left invariant peudo-Riemannian metric non complete.

4. Any solution of (3) (resp. (4)) on a reductive or semi-simple Lie group gives rise to a locally symmetric (resp. flat) left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on the dual groups.

5. Recall that a Lie group can carry many several orthogonal structures non isomorphic. This is the case, for example, if the groupe is semi-simple an non simple. Consequently the dual Lie groups of G can be have many flat left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics.

6. As shown by Medina in [17], oscillator Lie algebras are orthogonal. Indeed, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, let

$$x = x_{-1}e_{-1} + x_0e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_ie_i + \check{x}_i\check{e}_i)\,.$$

The nondegenerate quadratic form

$$\mathbf{k}_\lambda(x,x) := 2x_{-1}x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}(x_i^2 + \check{x}_i^2) \tag{13}$$

defines a Lorentzian bi-invariant metric on G_λ. According to Theorem 1.3, any solution of (3) (resp. (4)) on G_λ gives rise to a locally symmetric (resp. flat) left invariant Lorentzian metric on the dual group.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the study of Lie bialgebras structures on oscillator Lie algebras and culminates by proving Theorems 1.1-1.3. In Section 3, we give the solutions of (8), (10) and (11) when $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \leq 6$. In section 4, we illustrate Theorem 1.3 by building an example of a complete 6-dimensional Lie group endowed with a left invariant flat Lorentzian metric. Moreover, since the Killing form of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is non degenerate Lorentzian, we give the solutions of (4) on $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and we give the associated flat Lorentzian dual groups.

2 Lie bialgebras structures on oscillator Lie algebras

This section is devoted to the study of bialgebras structures on oscillator Lie algebras. It culminates with a proof of Theorems 1.1-1.3.
Let $\mathbb{B}^* = \left\{e_{-1}^*, e_0^*, e_i^*, \check{e}_i^*\right\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ be the dual basis of $\mathbb{B}$. From (5), we get that the non vanishing $\mathrm{ad}_u^*\alpha$ with $u \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}^*$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^* e_i^* &= -\lambda_i\check{e}_i^*,\ \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^*\check{e}_i^* = \lambda_i e_i^*,\\ \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}^* e_0^* &= \check{e}_i^*,\ \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}^*\check{e}_i^* = -\lambda_i e_{-1}^*,\\ \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}^* e_0^* &= -e_i^*,\ \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}^* e_i^* = \lambda_i e_{-1}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

An important class of 1-cocycles are the coboundaries, i.e, $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ such that, for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r$ where $r \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. In this case, the bracket defined by (2) is given by

$$[\alpha, \beta]^* = \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\beta)}\alpha - \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\alpha)}\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need to compute the expression of this bracket in the basis $\mathbb{B}^*$. A direct computation using (14) gives:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} [e^*_{-1}, \alpha]^* &=& -\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(e^*_{-1})}\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, \\ [e^*_0, e^*_i]^* &=& -\lambda_i r(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i)e^*_{-1} + \lambda_i r(e^*_0, e^*_{-1})\check{e}^*_i + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^n \left(r(e^*_i, e^*_j)\check{e}^*_j - r(e^*_i, \check{e}^*_j)e^*_j\right), \\ [e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i]^* &=& \lambda_i r(e^*_0, e^*_i)e^*_{-1} - \lambda_i r(e^*_0, e^*_{-1})e^*_i + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^n \left(r(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_j)\check{e}^*_j - r(\check{e}^*_i, \check{e}^*_j)e^*_j\right), \\ [e^*_i, e^*_j]^* &=& \left(-\lambda_i r(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_j) - \lambda_j r(e^*_i, \check{e}^*_j)\right) e^*_{-1} + \lambda_i r(e^*_{-1}, e^*_j)\check{e}^*_i + \lambda_j r(e^*_i, e^*_{-1})\check{e}^*_j, \\ [e^*_i, \check{e}^*_j]^* &=& \left(-\lambda_i r(\check{e}^*_i, \check{e}^*_j) + \lambda_j r(e^*_i, e^*_j)\right) e^*_{-1} + \lambda_i r(e^*_{-1}, \check{e}^*_j)\check{e}^*_i - \lambda_j r(e^*_i, e^*_{-1})e^*_j, \\ [\check{e}^*_i, \check{e}^*_j]^* &=& \left(\lambda_i r(e^*_i, \check{e}^*_j) + \lambda_j r(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_j)\right) e^*_{-1} - \lambda_i r(e^*_{-1}, \check{e}^*_j)e^*_i - \lambda_j r(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_{-1})e^*_j. \end{array}\right. \tag{15}$$

Notation. For any linear map $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ and for any $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $\alpha_{-1,0}$, $\alpha_{-1,i}$, $\check{\alpha}_{-1,i}$, $a_{0,i}$, $\check{a}_{0,i}$, $b_{i,j}$, $\check{b}_{i,j}$, $c_{i,j}$ the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ whose values at $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_{-1,0}(u) &=& \xi(u)(e^*_{-1}, e^*_0),\ \alpha_{-1,i}(u) = \xi(u)(e^*_{-1}, e^*_i),\ \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(u) = \xi(u)(e^*_{-1}, \check{e}^*_i), \\ a_{0,i}(u) &=& \xi(u)(e^*_0, e^*_i),\ \check{a}_{0,i}(u) = \xi(u)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i), \\ b_{i,j}(u) &=& \xi(u)(e^*_i, e^*_j),\ \check{b}_{i,j}(u) = \xi(u)(\check{e}^*_i, \check{e}^*_j),\ c_{i,j}(u) = \xi(u)(e^*_i, \check{e}^*_j). \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a 1-cocycle with respect to the adjoint action. Then $\xi(e_0) = 0$.*

Proof. Since e_0 is a central element in $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, the cocycle condition implies $\mathrm{ad}_u\xi(e_0) = 0$, for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. Hence, by using (14), we get, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) + \xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}\check{e}^*_i) = -\lambda_i\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_{-1}), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_{-1}) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}e^*_0, e^*_{-1}) = \xi(e_0)(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_{-1}), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_{-1}) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_i}e^*_0, e^*_{-1}) = -\xi(e_0)(e^*_i, e^*_{-1}), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_j) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}e^*_0, e^*_j) = \xi(e_0)(\check{e}^*_i, e^*_j), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_i) = \xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \mathrm{ad}^*_{e_{-1}}e^*_i) = -\lambda_i\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) = \xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \mathrm{ad}^*_{e_{-1}}\check{e}^*_i) = \lambda_i\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_i), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_j) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}e^*_0, \check{e}^*_j) + \xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \mathrm{ad}^*_{e_i}\check{e}^*_j) = \xi(e_0)(\check{e}^*_i, \check{e}^*_j), \\ 0 &=& \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_0)(e^*_0, e^*_j) = \xi(e_0)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_i}e^*_0, e^*_j) + \xi(e_0)(e^*_0, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_i}e^*_j) = -\xi(e_0)(e^*_i, e^*_j), \end{array}$$

which shows that $\xi(e_0) = 0$. □

Proposition 2.2 *Let $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a 1-cocycle with respect to the adjoint action. Then, for any $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ with $i \neq j$,*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b_{i,j}(e_i) & = & b_{i,j}(e_j) = 0, \\ c_{j,j}(\check{e}_i) & = & c_{j,j}(e_i) = c_{i,j}(e_i) = c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) = 0, \\ \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) & = & \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ with $i \neq j$. The cocycle condition, Proposition 2.1, (5) and (14) imply

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_0^*, \check{e}_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_0^*, \check{e}_j^*) = -\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_i^*, \check{e}_j^*) + \xi(\check{e}_i)(e_j^*, \check{e}_j^*) \\ & = & -c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) + c_{j,j}(\check{e}_i). \\ 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_j)(e_0^*, e_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_0^*, e_j^*) = -\xi(e_j)(e_i^*, e_j^*) - \xi(\check{e}_i)(\check{e}_j^*, e_j^*) \\ & = & -b_{i,j}(e_j) + c_{j,j}(\check{e}_i). \\ 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_0^*, e_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_j)(e_0^*, e_i^*) = \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_j^*, e_i^*) + \xi(e_j)(e_j^*, e_i^*) \\ & = & -c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) - b_{i,j}(e_j). \end{array}$$

We deduce that $b_{i,j}(e_i) = c_{j,j}(\check{e}_i) = c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_j)(e_0^*, e_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(e_i)(e_0^*, e_j^*) = \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_j^*) - \xi(e_i)(\check{e}_j^*, e_j^*) \\ & = & -c_{j,i}(e_j) + c_{j,j}(e_i). \\ 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_0^*, \check{e}_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_i)(e_0^*, \check{e}_j^*) = \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_i^*, \check{e}_j^*) + \xi(e_i)(e_j^*, \check{e}_j^*) \\ & = & \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) + c_{j,j}(e_i). \\ 0 & = & \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_j)(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) = -\xi(e_j)(e_j^*, \check{e}_i^*) - \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_j^*, \check{e}_i^*) \\ & = & -c_{j,i}(e_j) + \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_j). \end{array}$$

Thus $\check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) = c_{j,j}(e_i) = c_{i,j}(e_i) = 0$. To complete the proof, we need to show that $c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) = 0$. Indeed, by applying (1), respectively, to (e_{-1}, e_i) and $(e_{-1}, \check{e}_i)$, we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_i\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_0^*, e_i^*) & = & \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(e_i)(e_0^*, e_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_{-1})(e_0^*, e_i^*) \\ & = & -\lambda_i\xi(e_i)(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) - \xi(e_{-1})(\check{e}_i^*, e_i^*), \\ -\lambda_i\xi(e_i)(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) & = & \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_{-1})(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) \\ & = & \lambda_i\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_0^*, e_i^*) + \xi(e_{-1})(e_i^*, \check{e}_i^*). \end{array}$$

Hence

$$c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) = \lambda_i(a_{0,i}(\check{e}_i) + \check{a}_{0,i}(e_i)) = -\lambda_i(\check{a}_{0,i}(e_i) + a_{0,i}(\check{e}_i)),$$

and then $c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) = 0$. □

Proposition 2.3 *Let $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ with respect to the adjoint action and let $k, l = 1, \ldots, n$. Then, for any $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $j \neq k$ and $j \neq l$, we have:*

1. *if* $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k + \lambda_l$ *and* $\lambda_j \neq |\lambda_l - \lambda_k|$, *then*
$$b_{k,l}(e_j) = b_{k,l}(\check{e}_j) = \check{b}_{k,l}(e_j) = \check{b}_{k,l}(\check{e}_j) = c_{k,l}(e_j) = c_{k,l}(\check{e}_j) = 0;$$
2. *if* $\lambda_j = \lambda_k + \lambda_l$, *then there exists* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*
$$\begin{array}{rcl} (b_{k,l}(e_j), c_{k,l}(e_j), c_{l,k}(e_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(e_j)) & = & (-a, b, -b, a) \\ (b_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), c_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), c_{l,k}(\check{e}_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(\check{e}_j)) & = & (-b, -a, a, b); \end{array}$$
3. *If* $\lambda_j = |\lambda_l - \lambda_k|$, *then there exists* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*
$$\begin{array}{rcl} (b_{k,l}(e_j), c_{k,l}(e_j), c_{l,k}(e_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(e_j)) & = & (a, -b, -b, a) \\ (b_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), c_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), c_{l,k}(\check{e}_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(\check{e}_j)) & = & (b, \epsilon a, \epsilon a, b), \end{array}$$
where ϵ *is the signe of* $\lambda_l - \lambda_k$.

Proof. By applying (1) to (e_{-1}, e_j) and $(e_{-1}, \check{e}_j)$, we get
$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(e_j) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(e_{-1}) & = & \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j) \\ \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(\check{e}_j) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_{-1}) & = & -\lambda_j \xi(e_j). \end{array}$$
By evaluating these relations, respectively, on $(e_k^*, e_l^*), (e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*), (\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*), (\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*)$, we deduce
$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*) & = & -\lambda_k \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*) - \lambda_l \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*), \\ \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) & = & -\lambda_k \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) + \lambda_l \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*), \\ \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*) & = & \lambda_k \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*) - \lambda_l \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*), \\ \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) & = & \lambda_k \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) + \lambda_l \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*), \\ -\lambda_j \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*) & = & -\lambda_k \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*) - \lambda_l \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*), \\ -\lambda_j \xi(e_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) & = & -\lambda_k \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) + \lambda_l \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*), \\ -\lambda_j \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*) & = & \lambda_k \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, e_l^*) - \lambda_l \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*), \\ -\lambda_j \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) & = & \lambda_k \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_k^*, \check{e}_l^*) + \lambda_l \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_l^*). \end{array}$$
These relations can by written
$$BX = -\lambda_j \check{X} \quad \text{and} \quad B\check{X} = \lambda_j X. \tag{16}$$
where
$$B = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \lambda_l & \lambda_k & 0 \\ -\lambda_l & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \\ -\lambda_k & 0 & 0 & \lambda_l \\ 0 & -\lambda_k & -\lambda_l & 0 \end{array} \right),$$
$X = (b_{k,l}(e_j), c_{k,l}(e_j), -c_{l,k}(e_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(e_j))$ and $\check{X} = (b_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), c_{k,l}(\check{e}_j), -c_{l,k}(\check{e}_j), \check{b}_{k,l}(\check{e}_j))$.

The equation (16) implies that

$$B^2X = -\lambda_j^2 X \quad \text{and} \quad B^2\check{X} = -\lambda_j^2\check{X}.$$

Now the eigenvalues of B^2 are $-(\lambda_l - \lambda_k)^2$ and $-(\lambda_l + \lambda_k)^2$ and the corresponding eigenspaces are $span\{(1,0,0,1),(0,-1,1,0)\}$ and $span\{(-1,0,0,1),(0,1,1,0)\}$. Hence

1. If $\lambda_j^2 \neq (\lambda_l + \lambda_k)^2$ and $\lambda_j^2 \neq (\lambda_l - \lambda_k)^2$ then $X = \check{X} = 0$.
2. If $\lambda_j = |\lambda_l - \lambda_k|$ then

   $$\begin{array}{rcl} X &=& a(1,0,0,1) + b(0,-1,1,0), \\ \check{X} &=& \check{a}(1,0,0,1) + \check{b}(0,-1,1,0) \end{array}$$

   and (16) is equivalent to $(\lambda_l - \lambda_k)a = -\lambda_j\check{b}$ and $(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)b = -\lambda_j\check{a}$ and we get the desired relation.
3. If $\lambda_j = \lambda_l + \lambda_k$ then

   $$\begin{array}{rcl} X &=& a(-1,0,0,1) + b(0,1,1,0), \\ \check{X} &=& \check{a}(-1,0,0,1) + \check{b}(0,1,1,0) \end{array}$$

   and (16) is equivalent to $a = -\check{b}$ and $b = \check{a}$ and we get the desired relation. □

Proposition 2.4 *Let* $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ *be a 1-cocycle on* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ *with respect to the adjoint action. Then for any* $1 \leq i, j \leq n$*,*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_{-1,0}(e_{-1}) &=& 0, \\ c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) &=& 0, \\ a_{0,i}(e_i) &=& \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_i), \\ a_{0,i}(\check{e}_i) &=& -\check{a}_{0,i}(e_i), \\ b_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &=& \lambda_j\check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - \lambda_i a_{0,j}(e_i), \\ \check{b}_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &=& \lambda_j a_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_i\check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i), \\ c_{j,i}(e_{-1}) &=& \lambda_i a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) + \lambda_j\check{a}_{0,j}(e_i), \\ c_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &=& -\lambda_i\check{a}_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_j a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i). \end{array}\right.$$

Proof. Let $i \neq j$. By applying (1) to (e_{-1}, e_i) and $(e_{-1}, \check{e}_i)$, we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(e_i) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_{-1}) &=& \lambda_i\xi(\check{e}_i), \\ \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(\check{e}_i) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_{-1}) &=& -\lambda_i\xi(e_i). \end{array}$$

The evaluation of these two relations, respectively, on $(e_0^*, e_i^*), (e_0^*, e_j^*), (e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*), (e_0^*, \check{e}_j^*)$ gives

$$\begin{cases} c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) &= \lambda_i(a_{0,i}(\check{e}_i) + \check{a}_{0,i}(e_i)), \\ c_{j,i}(e_{-1}) &= \lambda_i a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) + \lambda_j \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i), \\ \alpha_{-1,0}(e_{-1}) &= a_{0,i}(e_i) - \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_i), \\ \check{b}_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &= \lambda_j a_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_i \check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i), \\ \alpha_{-1,0}(e_{-1}) &= \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_i) - a_{0,i}(e_i), \\ b_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &= \lambda_j \check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - \lambda_i a_{0,j}(e_i), \\ c_{i,i}(e_{-1}) &= -\lambda_i(\check{a}_{0,i}(e_i) + a_{0,i}(\check{e}_i)), \\ c_{i,j}(e_{-1}) &= -\lambda_i \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_j a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i). \end{cases}$$

and the proposition follows. □

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ *be a bialgebra structure on* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$*. Then* e_{-1}^* *is a central element of the dual Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$*.*

Proof. Remark that e_{-1}^* is a central element if and only if $\alpha_{-1,0} = \alpha_{-1,i} = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Note first that, according to Proposition 2.1, $\alpha_{-1,0}(e_0) = \alpha_{-1,i}(e_0) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ such that $i \neq j$. By using the 1-cocycle condition, (5) and (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_k^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(e_i)(\check{e}_i^*, e_k^*) = -\lambda_i \xi(e_j)(e_{-1}^*, e_k^*) = -\lambda_i \alpha_{-1,k}(e_j), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_i)(\check{e}_i^*, e_i^*) = -\lambda_i \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_{-1}^*, e_i^*) = -\lambda_i \alpha_{-1,i}(\check{e}_j), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(\check{e}_i)(\check{e}_k^*, e_i^*) = \lambda_i \xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_k^*, e_{-1}^*) = -\lambda_i \check{\alpha}_{-1,k}(\check{e}_j), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(\check{e}_i)(\check{e}_i^*, e_i^*) = \lambda_i \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_{-1}^*) = -\lambda_i \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha_{-1,k}(e_j) = \alpha_{-1,i}(\check{e}_j) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,k}(\check{e}_j) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_j) = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(e_i)(\check{e}_j^*, \check{e}_i^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(e_j)(\check{e}_j^*, \check{e}_i^*) = -\lambda_j \xi(e_i)(e_{-1}^*, \check{e}_i^*) + \lambda_i \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_j^*, e_{-1}^*) \\ &= -\lambda_j \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_i) - \lambda_i \check{\alpha}_{-1,j}(e_j), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_i^*, e_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_i)(\check{e}_i^*, e_j^*) = -\lambda_i \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_{-1}^*, e_j^*) + \lambda_j \xi(e_i)(e_{-1}^*, \check{e}_i^*) \\ &= -\lambda_i \alpha_{-1,j}(\check{e}_j) + \lambda_j \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_i), \\ 0 &= \mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(e_j)(\check{e}_j^*, e_j^*) - \mathrm{ad}_{e_j}\xi(\check{e}_j)(\check{e}_j^*, e_j^*) = \lambda_j \xi(e_j)(\check{e}_j^*, e_{-1}^*) + \lambda_j \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_{-1}^*, e_j^*) \\ &= -\lambda_j \check{\alpha}_{-1,j}(e_j) + \lambda_j \alpha_{-1,j}(\check{e}_j). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $\alpha_{-1,i}(\check{e}_i) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_i) = 0$ and hence

$$[e_{-1}^*, e_i^*]^* = \alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1})e_{-1}^* \quad \text{and} \quad [e_{-1}^*, \check{e}_i^*]^* = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_{-1})e_{-1}^*. \tag{17}$$

To complete the proof, we will show that $\alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1}) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_{-1}) = 0$ and $\alpha_{-1,0} = 0$.

Since ξ is a bialgebra structure, the dual bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity. Let us apply this identity to $e^*_{-1}, e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[[e^*_{-1}, e^*_0]^*, e^*_i]^*(e_i) &= \alpha_{-1,0}(e_{-1})[e^*_{-1}, e^*_i]^*(e_i) + \alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i)[\check{e}^*_i, e^*_i]^*(e_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_j \alpha_{-1,0}(e_j)[e^*_j, e^*_i]^*(e_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} \alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_j)[\check{e}^*_j, e^*_i]^*(e_i) \\
&\overset{(17)}{=} -\alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i)c_{i,i}(e_i) + \sum_j \alpha_{-1,0}(e_j)b_{j,i}(e_i) - \sum_{j\neq i} \alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_j)c_{i,j}(e_i) \\
&= -\alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i)c_{i,i}(e_i) \qquad \text{(see Proposition 2.2)}. \\
[[e^*_i, e^*_{-1}]^*, e^*_0]^* &\overset{(17)}{=} -\alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1})[e^*_{-1}, e^*_0]^*, \\
[[e^*_0, e^*_i]^*, e^*_{-1}]^*(e_i) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is a consequence of the fact that $\xi(e_0) = 0$ and (17). Hence, the Jacobi identity implies

$$\alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i)c_{i,i}(e_i) + \alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1})\alpha_{-1,0}(e_i) = 0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{i,i}(e_i) &= \xi(e_i)(e^*_i, \check{e}^*_i) \overset{(14)}{=} -\xi(e_i)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_i} e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) \\
&= -\mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_i)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) \overset{(1)}{=} -\mathrm{ad}_{e_i}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e^*_0, \check{e}^*_i) \\
&\overset{(14)}{=} -\lambda_i \alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i), \\
\alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1}) &= \xi(e_{-1})(e^*_{-1}, e^*_i) \overset{(14)}{=} -\xi(e_{-1})(e^*_{-1}, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_i} e^*_0) \\
&= -\mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(e_{-1})(e^*_{-1}, e^*_0) \overset{(1)}{=} -\left(\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e^*_{-1}, e^*_0) + \lambda_i \xi(e_i)(e^*_{-1}, e^*_0)\right) \\
&= -\lambda_i \alpha_{-1,0}(e_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i))^2 + (\alpha_{-1,0}(e_i))^2 = 0$, and then $\alpha_{-1,0}(\check{e}_i) = \alpha_{-1,0}(e_i) = 0$. Note that we have also shown that

$$c_{i,i}(e_i) = \alpha_{-1,i}(e_{-1}) = 0, \tag{18}$$

and in the same way we can show that

$$c_{i,i}(\check{e}_i) = \check{\alpha}_{-1,i}(e_{-1}) = 0. \tag{19}$$

To complete the proof, note that $\alpha_{-1,0}(e_{-1}) = 0$ according to Proposition 2.4. $\square$

Proposition 2.5 *Let $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. Then, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ with $i \neq j$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{i,i} &= 0, \\
b_{i,j}(e_i) &= b_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) = b_{i,j}(e_j) = b_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0, \\
\check{b}_{i,j}(e_i) &= \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) = \check{b}_{i,j}(e_j) = \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0, \\
c_{i,j}(e_i) &= c_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) = c_{i,j}(e_j) = c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The vanishing of $c_{i,i}$ is a consequence of Propositions 2.1-2.2 and (18)-(19).

Note that in Proposition 2.2, we have shown

$$b_{i,j}(e_i) \;=\; b_{i,j}(e_j) = c_{i,j}(e_i) = c_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) = \check{b}_{i,j}(\check{e}_j) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by using (1) and (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j}(\check{e}_i) &= \xi(\check{e}_i)(e_i^*, e_j^*) = -\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_i^*, \mathrm{ad}^*_{\check{e}_j} e_0^*) \\ &= -\mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_j}\xi(\check{e}_i)(e_i^*, e_0^*) = -\mathrm{ad}_{\check{e}_i}\xi(\check{e}_j)(e_i^*, e_0^*) \\ &= -\lambda_i \xi(\check{e}_j)(e_{-1}^*, e_0^*) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The same calculation gives the other relations. □

We end this section by proving Theorems 1.1-1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r + 2e_0 \wedge (J + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)$. Since e_0 is central and $J + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0}$ is a derivation, ξ is a 1-cocycle with respect to the adjoint action. Remark that for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ and any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, $r(\mathrm{ad}_u^* e_0^*, \alpha) = i_{r_\#(\alpha)}\omega(u)$. From this relation and by a straightforward computation one can show that the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ associated to ξ is given by (9). Moreover, ξ defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ iff this bracket satisfies Jacobi identity which is equivalent to

$$[e_0^*, [\alpha, \beta]^*]^* + [\alpha, [\beta, e_0^*]^*]^* + [\beta, [e_0^*, \alpha]^*]^* = 0,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in S^*$. Now $[e_0^*, [\alpha, \beta]^*]^* = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, [\beta, e_0^*]^*]^* &= -2[\alpha, J^*\beta]^* - [\alpha, i_{r_\#(\beta)}\omega]^* \qquad (J^*\beta, i_{r_\#(\beta)}\omega \in S^*) \\ &= -2(\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)(\alpha, J^*\beta)e_{-1}^* - (\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)(\alpha, i_{r_\#(\beta)}\omega)e_{-1}^* \\ &= -2(\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)(\alpha, J^*\beta)e_{-1}^* - \omega(r_\#(\beta), (\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)_\#(\alpha))e_{-1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

En conclusion the Jacobi identity holds iff

$$\omega((\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)_\#(\alpha), r_\#(\beta)) + \omega(r_\#(\alpha), (\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r)_\#(\beta)) - 2(J^\dagger \circ \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}})r(\alpha, \beta) = 0$$

which is equivalent to (8).

Conversely, suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is generic and ξ is a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. By gathering all the relations shown in Propositions 2.1-2.5 and Lemma 2.1 we get that bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ associated to ξ is given by (the vanishing bracket are omitted)

$$[e_0^*, e_i^*]^* \;=\; a_{0,i}(e_{-1})e_{-1}^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j)\check{e}_j^* + a_{0,i}(e_j)e_j^* \right),$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
[e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*]^* & = & \check{a}_{0,i}(e_{-1})e_{-1}^* + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_j)\check{e}_j^* + \check{a}_{0,i}(e_j)e_j^*\right), \\
[e_i^*, e_j^*]^* & = & \left(\lambda_j \check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - \lambda_i a_{0,j}(e_i)\right) e_{-1}^*, \\
[e_i^*, \check{e}_j^*]^* & = & \left(-\lambda_i \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_j a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i)\right) e_{-1}^* \\
 & = & \left(\lambda_j a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j) + \lambda_i \check{a}_{0,i}(e_j)\right) e_{-1}^* \quad i \neq j, \\
[\check{e}_i^*, \check{e}_j^*]^* & = & \left(\lambda_j a_{0,j}(e_i) - \lambda_i \check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i)\right) e_{-1}^*.
\end{array}$$

The skew-symmetry of $[e_i^*, e_j^*]^*$ and $[\check{e}_i^*, \check{e}_j^*]^*$ and the two expressions of $[e_i^*, \check{e}_j^*]^*$ give

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}
\lambda_j(\check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - a_{0,i}(e_j)) - \lambda_i(a_{0,j}(e_i) - \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_j)) & = & 0, \\
-\lambda_i(\check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - a_{0,i}(e_j)) + \lambda_j(a_{0,j}(e_i) - \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_j)) & = & 0, \\
\lambda_j(a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j) + a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i)) + \lambda_i(\check{a}_{0,i}(e_j) + \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i)) & = & 0, \\
\lambda_i(a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j) + a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i)) + \lambda_j(\check{a}_{0,i}(e_j) + \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i)) & = & 0.
\end{array}\right.$$

Since the λ_i are mutually distinct, these relations are equivalent to

$$\check{a}_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) - a_{0,i}(e_j) = a_{0,j}(e_i) - \check{a}_{0,i}(\check{e}_j) = a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j) + a_{0,j}(\check{e}_i) = \check{a}_{0,i}(e_j) + \check{a}_{0,j}(e_i) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Put

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rclrcl}
r_0(e_0^*, e_i^*) & = & \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\check{a}_{0,i}(e_{-1}), & r_0(e_0^*, \check{e}_i^*) & = & -\frac{1}{\lambda_i}a_{0,i}(e_{-1}), \\
r_0(e_i^*, e_j^*) & = & a_{0,i}(\check{e}_j), & r_0(\check{e}_i^*, \check{e}_j^*) & = & -\check{a}_{0,i}(e_j), \\
r_0(e_i^*, \check{e}_j^*) & = & -a_{0,i}(e_j), & i_{e_{-1}^*} r_0 & = & 0, \quad a_i = \check{a}_{0,i}(e_i),
\end{array}\right.$$

and define J by $Je_0 = J_{e_{-1}} = 0$, $Je_i = a_i \check{e}_i$ and $J\check{e}_i = -a_i e_i$. From (20), $r_0 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. The endomorphism J is a derivation which commutes with $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ and by comparing the brackets above to (15) one can see that $\xi = \mathrm{ad}^\dagger r_0 + e_0 \wedge J$. To complete the proof note that since $i_{e_{-1}^*} r_0 = 0$, there exists $r \in \wedge^2 S$ and $u_0 \in S$ such that $r_0 = e_0 \wedge u_0 + r$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

1. Let $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a solution of the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation. Then ξ given by $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r$ defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. By Lemma 2.1, for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r(e_{-1}^*, e_i) = \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}^\dagger r(e_{-1}^*, \check{e}_i) = 0$. These relations are equivalent to $r(e_{-1}^*, e_i^*) = r(e_{-1}^*, \check{e}_i^*) = 0$ and hence
$$r = r(e_0^*, e_{-1}^*) e_0 \wedge e_{-1} + e_0 \wedge u_0 + r_0,$$
where $r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$ and $u_0 \in S$. Thus
$$\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r = \mathrm{ad}_u^\dagger r_0 + 2e_0 \wedge (-\alpha \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u),$$
where $r(e_0^*, e_{-1}^*) = 2\alpha$. Now according to Theorem 1.1, ξ defines a Lie bialgebra structure iff r_0 satisfies (8) with $J = -\alpha \mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ and this equivalent to r_0 satisfies (10).

2. Let $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. In particular, r is a solution of the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation and from 1.,

$$r = \alpha e_0 \wedge e_{-1} + e_0 \wedge u_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$ and $u_0 \in S$. Now

$$[r,r] = [r_0,r_0] + 2\alpha e_0 \wedge \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r_0 + 2e_0 \wedge \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{u_0} r_0.$$

Now, by using (6) and the formula (see [10])

$$[r_0,r_0](\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = 2\alpha\left([r_{0\#}(\beta), r_{0\#}(\gamma)]\right) + 2\beta\left([r_{0\#}(\gamma), r_{0\#}(\alpha)]\right) + 2\gamma\left([r_{0\#}(\alpha), r_{0\#}(\beta)]\right) \quad (21)$$

one can check easily that

$$[r_0,r_0] = 2e_0 \wedge \omega_{r_0,r_0}.$$

Thus $[r,r] = 0$ iff

$$e_0 \wedge \left(\omega_{r_0,r_0} + \alpha \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r_0 + \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{u_0} r_0\right) = 0.$$

Since $r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$, $e_0 \wedge \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{u_0} r_0 = 0$, $\omega_{r_0,r_0}, \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r_0 \in \wedge^2 S$ and hence the equation above is equivalent to (11). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3

1. We identify $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\ ,\ ]_r)$ to the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on G^*_r and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle^*$ to the restriction of k^* to $\mathfrak{g}^*$. From the definition $[\ ,\ ]_r$ given by (12) and since ad^*_u is skew-symmetric for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, one can see easily that, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$,

$$\nabla^*_\alpha \beta = -\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\alpha)} \beta.$$

Thus the curvature of ∇^* is given by

$$R(\alpha,\beta)\gamma = \nabla^*_{[\alpha,\beta]_r}\gamma - [\nabla^*_\alpha, \nabla^*_\beta]\gamma = \mathrm{ad}^*_{[r_\#(\alpha), r_\#(\beta)] - r_\#([\alpha,\beta]_r)}\gamma.$$

Now, from formula (21) one can deduce that

$$\gamma([r_\#(\alpha), r_\#(\beta)] - r_\#([\alpha,\beta]_r)) = \frac{1}{2}[r,r](\alpha,\beta,\gamma).$$

From this relation, we deduce that if r is a solution of (4) then R vanishes identically. We return to the general case and we denote by $u_r(\alpha,\beta)$ the element of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\gamma(u_r(\alpha,\beta)) = \frac{1}{2}[r,r](\alpha,\beta,\gamma). \quad (22)$$

Thus

$$R(\alpha,\beta)\gamma = \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\alpha,\beta)}\gamma.$$

Let us compute $\nabla^* R$. We have, for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\rho \in \mathfrak{g}^*$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
(\nabla^*_\rho R)(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) & = & \nabla^*_\rho(R(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)) - R(\nabla^*_\rho\alpha,\beta,\gamma) - R(\alpha,\nabla^*_\rho\beta,\gamma) - R(\alpha,\beta,\nabla^*_\rho\gamma) \\
& = & -\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)} \circ \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\alpha,\beta)}\gamma + \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\alpha,\beta)}\gamma + \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\alpha,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\beta)}\gamma \\
& & +\mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\alpha,\beta)} \circ \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\gamma \\
& = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{[r_\#(\rho),u_r(\alpha,\beta)]}\gamma + \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\alpha,\beta)}\gamma + \mathrm{ad}^*_{u_r(\alpha,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\beta)}\gamma \\
& = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{w(\alpha,\beta)}\gamma,
\end{array}$$

where

$$w(\alpha,\beta) = [r_\#(\rho), u_r(\alpha,\beta)] + u_r(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\alpha,\beta) + u_r(\alpha,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\beta).$$

Now, for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mu\left(w(\alpha,\beta)\right) & = & \mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\mu(u_r(\alpha,\beta)) + \mu(u_r(\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\alpha,\beta)) + \mu(u_r(\alpha,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\beta)) \\
& \overset{(22)}{=} & \frac{1}{2}[r,r](\alpha,\beta,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\mu) + \frac{1}{2}[r,r](\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\alpha,\beta,\mu) + \frac{1}{2}[r,r](\alpha,\mathrm{ad}^*_{r_\#(\rho)}\beta,\mu) \\
& = & \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{ad}_{r_\#(\rho)}[r,r])(\alpha,\beta,\mu) \overset{(3)}{=} 0.
\end{array}$$

This achieves to show that $\nabla^* R = 0$ and the first part of the theorem follows.

2. According to a result of Aubert and Medina (see [1]), a flat left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on a Lie group is complete if and only if this group is unimodular and in this case the group is solvable. $\square$

3 Solutions of (8), (10) and (11) when $\dim G_\lambda \leq 6$

In this section, We give all the bialgebras structures, all the solutions of generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation and all the solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ when $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is generic and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \leq 6$. When $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \geq 8$, we give a large class of solutions. According to Theorems 1.1-1.2, we will solve (8), (10) and (11).
Note first that if $J : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is derivation commuting with $\mathrm{ad}_{e_{-1}}$ and satisfying $J(e_{-1}) = J(e_0) = 0$ then there exists $a = (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any $i = 1,\ldots,n$ such that

$$J(e_i) = a_i\check{e}_i \quad \text{and} \quad J(\check{e}_i) = -a_ie_i.$$

We shall denote by J_a such an endomorphism.
When $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda = 4$, the situation is simple. We have $\mathbb{B} = \{e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, \check{e}_1\}$,

$S = span\{e_1, \check{e}_1\}$ and $\wedge^2 S = span\{e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1\}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}}(e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1) = J_a^\dagger(e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1) = 0$ and $\omega_{e_1\wedge\check{e}_1, e_1\wedge\check{e}_1} = e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1$. By applying Theorems 1.1-1.2, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be the associated 4-dimensional oscillator Lie algebra. Then:*

1. *$\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ if and only if there exists $a, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in S$ such that*

$$\xi(u) = \alpha \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_u(e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1) + e_0 \wedge (J_a + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u).$$

2. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if $r = e_0 \wedge u + \alpha e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$.*

3. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if $r = e_0 \wedge u$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$.*

We return now to the general case. Consider a generic $2n+1$-dimensional oscillator Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ and fix $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, put

$$r_{ij} \;=\; e_i \wedge e_j,\ \check{r}_{ij} = \check{e}_i \wedge \check{e}_j,\ s_{ij} = e_i \wedge \check{e}_j,\ \check{s}_{ij} = \check{e}_i \wedge e_j,\ t_i = e_i \wedge \check{e}_i.$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{r_{ij},r_{ij}} &= \omega_{\check{r}_{ij},\check{r}_{ij}} = \omega_{s_{ij},s_{ij}} = \omega_{\check{s}_{ij},\check{s}_{ij}} = 0,\\
\omega_{r_{ij},s_{ij}} &= \omega_{r_{ij},\check{s}_{ij}} = \omega_{\check{r}_{ij},s_{ij}} = \omega_{\check{r}_{ij},\check{s}_{ij}} = 0,\\
\omega_{r_{ij},\check{r}_{ij}} &= = -\omega_{s_{ij},\check{s}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}(t_i + t_j),\\
\omega_{t_i,r_{ij}} &= \frac{1}{2}r_{ij},\ \omega_{t_i,\check{r}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}\check{r}_{ij},\ \omega_{t_i,s_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}s_{ij},\ \omega_{t_i,\check{s}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}\check{s}_{ij}\\
\omega_{t_j,r_{ij}} &= \frac{1}{2}r_{ij},\ \omega_{t_j,\check{r}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}\check{r}_{ij},\ \omega_{t_j,s_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}s_{ij},\ \omega_{t_j,\check{s}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{2}\check{s}_{ij},\\
\omega_{t_i,t_i} &= t_i,\ \omega_{t_i,t_j} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and let us find the solutions of (8), (10) and (11) of the form $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ where $p_{ij} \in span\{r_{ij}, \check{r}_{ij}, s_{ij}, \check{s}_{ij}\}$. In order to simplify the computations, let us introduce the following new basis:

$$E_{ij} = s_{ij} + \check{s}_{ij},\ \check{E}_{ij} = -r_{ij} + \check{r}_{ij},\ F_{ij} = -s_{ij} + \check{s}_{ij},\ \check{F}_{ij} = r_{ij} + \check{r}_{ij}.$$

We have

$$J_a^\dagger(E_{ij}) = (a_i+a_j)\check{E}_{ij},\ J_a^\dagger(\check{E}_{ij}) = -(a_i+a_j)E_{ij},\ J_a^\dagger(F_{ij}) = (a_j-a_i)\check{F}_{ij},\ J_a^\dagger(\check{F}_{ij}) = (a_i-a_j)F_{ij}.$$

Since $\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} = J^\dagger_\lambda$, similar relations holds for $\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}}$. On the other hand, one can see easily that $(E_{ij}, \check{E}_{ij}, F_{ij}, \check{F}_{ij})$ is ω-orthogonal and

$$\omega_{E_{ij},E_{ij}} = \omega_{\check{E}_{ij},\check{E}_{ij}} = -\omega_{F_{ij},F_{ij}} = -\omega_{\check{F}_{ij},\check{F}_{ij}} = -(t_i + t_j).$$

Let $(a, \check{a}, b, \check{b})$ be the coordinates of p_{ij} in $(E_{ij}, \check{E}_{ij}, F_{ij}, \check{F}_{ij})$. From the relations above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{r,r} + \alpha \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r &= c_i^2 t_1 + c_j^2 t_j + (c_i + c_j) p_{ij} + (b^2 + \check{b}^2 - a^2 - \check{a}^2)(t_i + t_j) \\
&\quad + \alpha(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\left(-\check{a}E_{ij} + a\check{E}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + \alpha(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\left(-\check{b}F_{ij} + b\check{F}_{ij}\right), \\
\omega_{r,ad^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r} - (J^\dagger_a \circ \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}}) r &= \frac{1}{2}(c_i + c_j)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\left(-\check{a}E_{ij} + a\check{E}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(c_i + c_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\left(-\check{b}F_{ij} + b\check{F}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)(a_i + a_j)\left(aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)(a_j - a_i)\left(bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij}\right), \\
\omega_{r,\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} r} + \alpha(\mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}} \circ \mathrm{ad}^\dagger_{e_{-1}}) r &= \frac{1}{2}(c_i + c_j)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\left(-\check{a}E_{ij} + a\check{E}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(c_i + c_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\left(-\check{b}F_{ij} + b\check{F}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad - \alpha(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)^2\left(aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij}\right) \\
&\quad - \alpha(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2\left(bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

1. (a) For $\alpha \neq 0$, $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (11) if and only if $r = 0$.
   (b) For $\alpha = 0$, $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (11) if and only if $r = aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij} + bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij} + c(t_i - t_j)$ and $c^2 = a^2 + \check{a}^2 - b^2 - \check{b}^2$.
2. (a) For $\alpha = 0$, $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (10) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ or $r = aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij} + bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij} + c(t_i - t_j)$.
   (b) For $\alpha \neq 0$, $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (10) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$.
3. (a) If $a_1 + a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_2 - a_1 \neq 0$ then $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (8) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$.
   (b) If $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ and $a_2 - a_1 \neq 0$ then $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (8) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ or $r = aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij} + c(t_i - t_j)$.
   (c) If $a_1 + a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_2 - a_1 = 0$ then $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (8) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ or $r = bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij} + c(t_i - t_j)$.
   (d) If $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ then $r = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ is a solution of (8) if and only if $r = c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ or $r = aE_{ij} + \check{a}\check{E}_{ij} + bF_{ij} + \check{b}\check{F}_{ij} + c(t_i - t_j)$.

Remark that if $r_1 = p_{ij} + c_i t_i + c_j t_j$ and $r_2 = p_{lk} + c_l t_l + c_k t_k$ are two solutions of (8), (10) or (11) and $\{i, j\} \cap \{l, k\} = \emptyset$ then $r_1 + r_2$ is a solution of (8), (10) or (11). So we can construct a large class of solutions. When $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda = 6$ we have constructed all the solutions. Let us summarize this case.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be the associated 6-dimensional oscillator Lie algebra. Then:*

1. $\xi : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \longrightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ *defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ if and only if ξ has one of the following forms:*
   - *(a)* $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u(c_1 t_1 + c_2 t_2) + e_0 \wedge (J_a + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)$, *where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $u_0 \in S$;*
   - *(b)* $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u(dE_{12} + \check{d}\check{E}_{12} + c(t_1 - t_2)) + e_0 \wedge (J_{(a,-a)} + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)$, *where $a, d, \check{d}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in S$;*
   - *(c)* $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u(dF_{12} + \check{d}\check{F}_{12} + c(t_1 - t_2)) + e_0 \wedge (J_{(a,a)} + \mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)$, *where $a, d, \check{d}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in S$;*
   - *(d)* $\xi(u) = \mathrm{ad}_u(dE_{12} + \check{d}\check{E}_{12} + bF_{12} + \check{b}\check{F}_{12} + c(t_1 - t_2)) + e_0 \wedge (\mathrm{ad}_{u_0})(u)$, *where $b, \check{b}, d, \check{d}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in S$;*
2. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the generalized classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if $r = e_0 \wedge u + c_1 t_1 + c_2 t_2$, where $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, or $r = e_0 \wedge u + aE_{12} + \check{a}\check{E}_{12} + bF_{12} + \check{b}\check{F}_{12} + c(t_1 - t_2)$, where $u \in S$ and $a, \check{a}, b, \check{b}, c \in \mathbb{R}$.*
3. *A bivector $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if $r = e_0 \wedge u$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$, or $r = e_0 \wedge u + aE_{12} + \check{a}\check{E}_{12} + bF_{12} + \check{b}\check{F}_{12} + c(t_1 - t_2)$ where $u \in S$ and $c^2 = a^2 + \check{a}^2 - b^2 - \check{b}^2$.*

By using Corollary 1.1 and the proposition above, one can prove easily the following result.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ be the associated 6-dimensional oscillator Lie algebra. Then for any solution r of the classical Yang-Baxter equation $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]_r)$ is unimodular.*

4 Examples

1. By using Theorem 1.3, we will build an example of 6-dimensional Lie groups endowed with a complete left invariant flat Lorentzian metric. According to Proposition 3.2,

$$r = e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_1 \wedge \check{e}_2 + \check{e}_1 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge \check{e}_1 - e_2 \wedge \check{e}_2$$

is a solution of (4) on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ ($\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$). By using (15), we can check that the bracket $[\ ,\ ]_r$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*$ associated to r is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_0^*, e_1^*]_r &= -e_1^* - e_2^*,\ [e_0^*, e_2^*]_r = e_1^* + e_2^*,\ [e_0^*, \check{e}_1^*]_r = \lambda_1 e_{-1}^* - \check{e}_1^* + \check{e}_2^*,\\
[e_0^*, \check{e}_2^*]_r &= -\check{e}_1 + \check{e}_2,\ [e_2^*, \check{e}_2^*]_r = [e_1^*, \check{e}_1^*]_r = [e_1^*, \check{e}_2^*]_r = [e_2^*, \check{e}_1^*]_r = 0,\\
[e_1^*, e_2^*]_r &= -[\check{e}_1^*, \check{e}_2^*]_r = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e_{-1}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that $\mathcal{I} = span\{e_{-1}^*, e_1^*, e_2^*, \check{e}_1^*, \check{e}_2^*\}$ is an ideal of $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]_r)$ isomorphic to the 5-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra and $(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda^*, [\ ,\ ]_r)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}e_0^*$ with $\mathcal{I}$ and the action is given by the restriction of $\mathrm{ad}_{e_0^*}$ to $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathbf{k}_\lambda$ be the adjoint invariant Lorentzian product on $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ given by (13). The corresponding symmetric bilinear form $\mathbf{k}_\lambda^*$ is entirely determined by the relations

$$\mathbf{k}_\lambda^*(e_0^*, e_{-1}^*) = 1,\ \mathbf{k}_\lambda^*(e_i^*, e_i^*) = \mathbf{k}_\lambda^*(\check{e}_i^*, \check{e}_i^*) = \lambda_i,\ i = 1, 2$$

and induces, according to Theorem 1.3, a complete flat left invariant Lorentzian metric on the connected and simply connected Lie group G_λ^*.

2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ be an orthogonal Lie algebra an $r : \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a solution of (4). If $\mathrm{Im}r$ is k-nondegenerate then $\mathfrak{g}_r^*$ is a solvable Lie algebra. Indeed, under the hypothesis, $\mathrm{Im}r$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra (see [16]) and then $\mathfrak{g}_r^*$ is an extension of a nilpotent algebra by an Abelian algebra. Nevertheless, the following example shows that $\mathfrak{g}_r^*$ can be solvable with $\mathrm{Im}r$ degenerate.

   Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ and let $\mathbb{B} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ where

   $$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

   We have

   $$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_2,\ \ [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e_1.$$

   The symmetric 2-form
   $$k(a, b) = \mathrm{tr}(ab)$$
   is an orthogonal structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. The matrix of k and k^* in $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{B}^*$ are given by

   $$\mathrm{M}(k, \mathbb{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{M}(k^*, \mathbb{B}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $r : \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear endomorphism which is skew-symmetric, i.e., $\alpha(r(\beta)) = -\beta(r(\alpha))$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Denote by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ the matrix of r in the basis $\mathbb{B}^*$ and $\mathbb{B}$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
[r(e_1^*), r(e_2^*)] & = & [-ae_2 - be_3, ae_1 - ce_3] \\
 & = & a^2[e_1, e_2] + ac[e_2, e_3] + ab[e_1, e_3] \\
 & = & -ace_1 + 2a^2e_2 - 2abe_3, \\
[r(e_2^*), r(e_3^*)] & = & [ae_1 - ce_3, be_1 + ce_2] \\
 & = & ac[e_1, e_2] + bc[e_1, e_3] + c^2[e_2, e_3] \\
 & = & -c^2e_1 + 2ace_2 - 2bce_3, \\
[r(e_3^*), r(e_1^*)] & = & [be_1 + ce_2, -ae_2 - be_3] \\
 & = & -ab[e_1, e_2] - b^2[e_1, e_3] - bc[e_2, e_3] \\
 & = & bce_1 - 2abe_2 + 2b^2e_3.
\end{array}$$

The endomorphism r is a solution of (4) if and only if

$$e_3^*\left([r(e_1^*), r(e_2^*)]\right) + e_1^*\left([r(e_2^*), r(e_3^*)]\right) + e_2^*\left([r(e_3^*), r(e_1^*)]\right) = 0.$$

This equation is clearly equivalent to

$$4ab + c^2 = 0. \tag{23}$$

Let r be a solution of (4). It induces on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ a Lie bracket given by (12). A direct computation gives

$$[e_1^*, e_2^*]_r = -2ae_1^* - ce_2^*,\ [e_1^*, e_3^*]_r = 2be_1^* - ce_3^*,\ [e_2^*, e_3^*]_r = 2be_2^* + 2ae_3^*.$$

One can see easily that if r is non zero then the derived ideal $[\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}^*]_r$ is abelian and of dimension 2 and hence $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of a plan by a line where the action of the line is given by the identity.
According to Theorem 1.3, the connected and simply connected Lorentzian Lie group associated to $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\ ,\ ]_r, k^*)$ is flat and non complete.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted within the framework of Action concertée CNRST-CNRS.
This paper was partially written during a stay of the second author as a guest at the University of Antioquia in Colombia.

References

[1] Aubert Anne & Medina Alberto, Groupes de Lie pseudo-riemanniens plats. Tohoku Math. J. **(2) 55** (2003) 487-506.

[2] Belavin A. & Drinfeld V. G., The triangle equation and simple Lie algebras, Preprint of Inst. Theor. Physics **18** (1982).

[3] Bordemann Martin , Generalized Lax Pairs , the modified clasical Yang-Baxter equation ,and affine geometry of Lie groups , Comm. Math. Phys. Volume **135**, Number 1 (1990), 201-216.

[4] Bromberg S and Medina A, Geometry of Oscillators groups and Locally Symmetric Manifolds, Geometricae Dedicata **106** (2004) 97-111.

[5] Bromberg S and Medina A, Left invariant semi Riemannian metrics on quadratic Lie groups, In preparation.

[6] Delorme Patrick, Classification of Manin triples for complex reductive Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, **246** (2001) 97-174.

[7] Drinfeld V. G., On constant quasiclassical solutions of the Yang-Baxter quantum equation, Sov. Math. Dokl. **28** (1983), 667-671.

[8] Drinfeld V. G., Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of the classical Yang-Baxter equations, Sov. Math. Dokl. **27** (1) (1983) 68-71.

[9] Drinfeld V. G., Quantum groups, Vol. 1, Proc. ICM, Berkely, (1986) 789-820.

[10] Dufour J. P. and Zung N. T., Poisson Structures and Their Normal Forms, vol. **242** of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Boston, New York, (2005).

[11] Gadea P. M. & Oubiña J. A., Homogeneous Lorentzian structures on oscillator groups, Arch. Math. **73** (1999) 311-320.

[12] Helmstetter J., Radical d'une algèbre symétrique à gauche, Ann. Inst. Fourier **29-4** (1979), 17-35.

[13] Levichev A. V., Chronogeometry of an electromagnetic wave given by a bi-invariant metric on the oscillator group, Siberian Math. J. **27** (1986) 237-245.

[14] Levichev A. V., Methods of investigation of the causal structure of homogeneous Lorentz manifolds, Siberian Math. J. **31**, 395-408 (1990).

[15] Lu J. H., Weinstein A., Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions, J. Diff. Geo. **31** (1990) 501-526.

[16] Medina A , Revoy Ph ., Groupes de Lie symplectiques, MSRI Publications Berkeley , 20, 247-266, 1991, Springer-Verlag .

[17] Medina A., Groupes de Lie munis de métriques bi-invariantes, Tohoku Math. J. **37** (1985) 405-421.

[18] Medina A. & Revoy Ph., Les groupes oscillateurs et leurs réseaux, Manuscripta Math. **52** (1985) 81-95.

[19] Medina Perea A., Flat left-invariant connections adapted to the automorphism structure of a Lie group. J. Differential Geometry **16** (1981) 445-474.

[20] Milnor J., Curvature of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, Adv. in Math. **21** (1976), 283-329.

[21] Müller D. & Ricci F., On the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the oscillator group, J. Reine Angew. Math. **390** (1988) 193-207.

[22] Nappi C. and Witten E., A WZW model based on a non-semisimple group" Preprint hep-th/9310112, 1993.

[23] Nomura T., The Paley-Wiener theorem for oscillator group, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **22** (1982/83) 71-96.

[24] Semenov-Tian-Shansky M. A., Dressing transformations and Poisson Lie group actions, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University **21** (1985) 1237-1260.

[25] Streater R. F., The representations of oscillator group, Comm. Math. Phys. **4** (1967) 217-236.

[26] Szymczak I. and Zakrzemski S., Quantum deformations of the Heisenberg group obtained by geometric quantization, J. Geom. Phys. **7** (1990) 553-569.

Mohamed Boucetta
Faculté des Sciences et Techniques
BP 549 Marrakech, Morocco.
Email: *boucetta@fstg-marrakech.ac.ma*

Alberto Medina
Université Montpellier 2
Case Courrier 051, UMR CNRS 5149
Place Eugène Bataillon 34095
MONTPELLIER Cedex France
Email: *medina@math.univ-montp2.fr*